



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,882	10/13/2001	Gregory Scott Lett	1/0004	2161
29571	7590	01/18/2006		
PHYSIOME SCIENCES, INC. 150 COLLEGE ROAD WEST PRINCETON, NJ 08540				
			EXAMINER PROCTOR, JASON SCOTT	
			ART UNIT 2123	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/976,882	LETT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jason Proctor	2123	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jason Proctor.

(2) Amy Sauther.

**Status of Application:** Restriction mailed, pending election

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 January 2006

**Time:** 1:40 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

NA

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NA


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 1/10/2006

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

*2006  
1/12/06*

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attempts to reach attorney of record Leslie Gladstone Restaino (38,893) on 12/15/2005 revealed that her applications are allegedly being handled by Amy Sauther (reg. number unknown). I informed Amy Sauther (on 12/15/2005, 1/5/2006, and 1/9/2006) that a restriction requirement was mailed in this application on 8/9/2005, but was returned as undeliverable. Apparently the correspondence address for this application is inaccurate or out of date. I informed Amy Sauther that if a corrected correspondence address is filed, the restriction requirement will be mailed again. The period for reply was not discussed. As of this date (1/10/2006) I have had no response from Amy Sauther and the correspondence address remains unchanged in the application..